

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/881,083	06/13/2001	James Albanese	5291/55433	8021
75	90 01/26/2005		EXAM	INER
PATULA & ASSOCIATES P.C.			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
14th Floor 116 South Michigan Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60603			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/881,083	ALBANESE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		William J Deane	2642
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 S</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters,	•
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic nty documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
2)  Notice 3)  Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) cer No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/881,083

Art Unit: 2642

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 – 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,026,160 (Staber et al.).

With respect to claims 1 - 15, Staber et al. teach the claimed limitations as can be seen in the Figs. In addition, see the Abstract, Background of the Invention and the Summary of the Invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 15 is further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fig.1 of the instant application.

Claim 15 is so broad as to be obvious in view of Fig. 1 of the instant application.

To take a device and separate it in to parts or to take part of a device and make it into a single device carries no patentable weight. It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 09/881,083

Art Unit: 2642

ordinary skill in the art to take an integral device and make it separable or vice-a-versa. In addition, claim 15 reads on Fig. 1 of the instant application or is obvious in view of Fig. 1, at for the first time the device is made.

### Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 09/17/2004 have been fully considered but are not deemed persuasive to any error in the rejections above.

Applicants argue limitations that are not found in the claims. For example, applicants argue that the instant application terminates DSL services however, no such limitation is found in the independent claims. Applicant further argues with respect to claim 15, about high speed telecommunications services, however ADSL is a speed service.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/881,083

Art Unit: 2642

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (703) 306-5838. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 872-9306.

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER Z/ Jan 05